Phenylpropanoids from the Immature Fruits of Black Nightshade (Solanum nigrum L.)
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ABSTRACT

Black nightshade (Solanum nigrum L.) has been traditionally used as indigenous Chinese medicine. Phytochemical investigations of the immature fruits of S. nigrum have been carried out and nine phenylpropanoids (1-9) were obtained. Their structures were elucidated on the basis of spectroscopic and chemical methods, including MS, 1H and 13C-NMR. They were identified as (7S, 8R)-4-{3-hydroxymethyl-5-(3-hydroxypropyl)-2,3-dihydrobenzofuran-2-yl}-2-methoxyphenol (1), (7S, 8R)- dihydrodehydroconiferyl alcohol (2), massonianoside A (3), butane-2,3-diol 2-O- (6-O-caffeoyl)-β-D-glucopyranoside (4), 4-{(6-O-(E)-caffeoyl)-β-D-glucopyranosyl} vanillic acid (5), (+)-isolariciresinol (6), trans-cinnamic acid (7), ferulic acid (8), and 4-hydroxy cinnamic acid (9). This study enriched the chemical constituents of black nightshade.
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1. INTRODUCTION

"Black nightshade (Solanum nigrum L.) belongs to the family of Solanaceae and is wildly distributed all over the world" [1]. "In China, it has been used as a common traditional Chinese medicine, which has the effects of clearing heat and detoxification, activating blood circulation and removing blood stasis, promoting water and swelling, and is mainly used to treat cold and fever, toothache, and cancers. Modern pharmacological studies indicated that S. nigrum exhibited a variety of biological activities including antiproliferative, anti-inflammatory [2,3], antiviral, hepatoprotective, and antioxidative activities" [4-6].

"S. nigrum contains steroidal saponins, steroidal alkaloid glycosides and phenolic compounds in the previous chemical studies” [7-10]. "Steroidal alkaloid glycosides are the main chemical components of Solanum species, which possess various pharmacological activities such as antiproliferative and anti-inflammatory properties” [11,12]. Currently, most of the chemical investigations on S. nigrum were focused on the aerial parts, while the bioactive components of its unripe berries are still unclear.

In this study, the phytochemicals from the immature fruits of S. nigrum has been carried out and nine phenylpropanoids were obtained.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 General Experimental Procedures

MS spectra were obtained on an Acquity UPLC-Q-TOF Microsystem (Waters Co., Milford, MA). NMR spectra were taken on a Bruker Avance III 500 MHz spectrometer (Bruker, Switzerland). ODS packed column (40–60 μm, Merck KGaA, Darstadt, Germany) and column chromatography was employed on silica gel (Anhui Liangchen Silicon Source Material Co. Ltd, Lu’an, China). All other analytical chemicals and reagents were purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co. Ltd. (Shanghai, China).

2.2 Plant Materials

The immature fruits of S. nigrum were purchased from Haerbin (Heilongjiang province, China) and dried at room temperature in the shade. The voucher specimen has been deposited in the School of Pharmacy, Guangdong Pharmaceutical University, China.

2.3 Extraction and Isolation

Dried immature fruits of S. nigrum (2.5 kg) were extracted with 70% EtOH (v/v, 15 L × 3) for 2 h. The ethanol-free suspension was subjected to a D101 macroporous resin column (80 × 1100 mm), and eluted with H2O, 10% MeOH, 30% MeOH, 50% MeOH, 70% MeOH and MeOH to give six fractions (I-VI). The 70% MeOH elution (fraction V, 45.1 g) was separated by a silica gel chromatography column (200-300 mesh, 1100 g) into 15 fractions (V-1 to V-15) with a CHCl3-MeOH gradient (100:1 to 0:1, v/v).

Compounds 7 (16.2 mg), 8 (10.1 mg), and 9 (16.0 mg) were obtained from fraction V-8 followed by an ODS MPLC, gradually eluted with MeOH-H2O (1:9 to 10:0, v/v). Fraction V-6 was applied to an ODS MPLC eluted with a gradient of MeOH-H2O (1:9 to 10:0, v/v) to afford eight subfractions (V-6-1 to V-6-8). Subfraction V-6-5 was further purified by a semi-preparative HPLC to obtained compounds 1 (8.2 mg) and 3 (7.3 mg). Fraction V-7 was subjected to an ODS MPLC and eluted with MeOH-H2O gradient (1:9 to 10:0, v/v) to afford ten fractions (V-7-1 to IV-7-10). Subfraction V-7-6 was further separated by an ODS MPLC and semi-preparative HPLC to obtained compounds 2 (10.7 mg), 4 (6.3 mg), 5 (9.0 mg), and 6 (5.6 mg), respectively.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The seventy percentage ethanol extract of the immature fruits of S. nigrum was separated successively by column chromatography on D101 macroporous resin, silica gel, ODS MPLC, and preparative HPLC, to afford nine phenylpropanoids (1-9) (Fig. 1). Their structures were elucidated on the basis of spectroscopic data, including MS, and 1H and 13C-NMR.

Compound 1, brownish oil, was a blue fluorescence under 365 nm after TLC development. Its molecular formula was determined as C10H22O5 based on its ESI-MS with the ion m/z 353 [M+Na]+, 1H and 13C-NMR.

In the 1H-NMR, protons at δH 7.01 (1H, d, J=1.8 Hz, H-2), 6.87 (1H, dd, J=8.2, 1.8 Hz, H-6) and 6.80 (1H, d, J=8.2 Hz, H-5) consisted an ABX coupling system of a 1,3,4-trisubstituted benzene ring. Signals at δH 7.13 (1H, s, H-2’), 7.00 (1H, d, J=8.1 Hz, H-6’) and 6.70 (1H, d, J=8.1 Hz, H-5’) came from another ABX coupling system benzene ring in the molecule. δH 5.48 (1H, d, J=6.4 Hz, H-7) was a proton linked to oxygenated carbon. δH 3.80 (3H, s, 3-OCH3) was a methoxy group.
\( ^{13}\)C-NMR gave 19 carbon signals (Table 1). In aromatic region (δ_c 159.1 to 109.5), there were 12 carbon signals, which were two benzene ring units. Carbon signal at δ_c 56.4 in high field region is a methoxy carbon signal, which was consistent with the information given by \(^1\)H-NMR. δ_c 87.8, 54.8 and 64.8 were deduced as the carbon signals on the furan ring, and δ_c 36.1, 32.8 and 61.8 were form a hydroxypropyl group. Therefore, compound 2 was speculated a benzodihydrofuran lignin. Based on above analysis and the literature [14], compound 2 was identified as (7S, 8R)-dihydrodehydroconiferyl alcohol.

Compound 3, brownish oil, was a blue fluorescence under 365 nm after TLC development. Its molecular formula was determined as \( \text{C}_{29}\text{H}_{32}\text{O}_{10} \) based on its ESI-MS with the ion m/z 515 [M+Na]+. \(^1\)H and \(^{13}\)C-NMR.

The molecular formula of compound 2 was determined as \( \text{C}_{29}\text{H}_{32}\text{O}_{10} \) based on its ESI-MS with the ion m/z 383 [M+Na]+, \(^1\)H and \(^{13}\)C-NMR. In the \(^1\)H-NMR, protons at δ_h 7.03 (1H, d, J=1.8 Hz, H-2), 6.88 (1H, dd, J=8.1, 1.8 Hz, H-6) and 6.81 (1H, d, J=8.1 Hz, H-5) consisted an ABX coupling system of in a 1,3,4-trisubstituted benzene ring. Signals at δ_h 6.74 (1H, s, H-2’) and 6.76 (1H, d, J=8.1 Hz, H-6’) came from another benzene ring in the molecule. δ_h 5.50 (1H, d, J=6.4 Hz, H-7) was a proton linked to oxygenated carbon. δ_h 3.76 (3H, s, 3-OCH3) and 1.31 (3H, d, J=6.2 Hz, H-6”) were a methoxy and methyl groups in the higher field. δ_h 4.62 (1H, d, J=0.7 Hz, H-1”) was deduced as a terminal proton signal of sugar.

In the \(^{13}\)C-NMR (Table 1), there were 25 carbon signals, including 12 carbon signals in aromatic region (δ_c 144.7 to 110.2), which were two benzene ring units. Carbon signal at δ_c 55.5 in high field region was a methoxy carbon, which was consistent with the information given by \(^1\)H-NMR. δ_c 144.7 to 110.2, which were two benzene ring units. Carbon signal at δ_c 55.5 in high field region was a methoxy carbon, which was consistent with the information given by \(^1\)H-NMR. δ_c 87.8, 54.8 and 64.8 were deduced as the carbon signals on the furan ring, and δ_c 36.1, 32.8 and 61.8 were form a hydroxypropyl group. Therefore, compound 2 was speculated a benzodihydrofuran lignin. Based on above analysis and the literature [14], compound 2 was identified as (7S, 8R)-dihydrodehydroconiferyl alcohol.

Compound 3, brownish oil, was a blue fluorescence under 365 nm after TLC development. Its molecular formula was determined as \( \text{C}_{29}\text{H}_{32}\text{O}_{10} \) based on its ESI-MS with the ion m/z 515 [M+Na]+. \(^1\)H and \(^{13}\)C-NMR.

The molecular formula of compound 2 was determined as \( \text{C}_{29}\text{H}_{32}\text{O}_{10} \) based on its ESI-MS with the ion m/z 383 [M+Na]+, \(^1\)H and \(^{13}\)C-NMR. In the \(^1\)H-NMR, protons at δ_h 7.03 (1H, d, J=1.8 Hz, H-2), 6.88 (1H, dd, J=8.1, 1.8 Hz, H-6) and 6.81 (1H, d, J=8.1 Hz, H-5) consisted an ABX coupling system of in a 1,3,4-trisubstituted benzene ring. Signals at δ_h 6.74 (1H, s, H-2’) and 6.76 (1H, d, J=8.1 Hz, H-6’) came from another benzene ring in the molecule. δ_h 5.50 (1H, d, J=6.4 Hz, H-7) was a proton linked to oxygenated carbon. δ_h 3.76 (3H, s, 3-OCH3) and 1.31 (3H, d, J=6.2 Hz, H-6”) were a methoxy and methyl groups in the higher field. δ_h 4.62 (1H, d, J=0.7 Hz, H-1”) was deduced as a terminal proton signal of sugar.

In the \(^{13}\)C-NMR (Table 1), there were 25 carbon signals, including 12 carbon signals in aromatic region (δ_c 144.7 to 110.2), which were two benzene ring units. Carbon signal at δ_c 55.5 in high field region was a methoxy carbon, which was consistent with the information given by \(^1\)H-NMR. Compared with the NMR data of compound 2, it is speculated that compound 3 is a benzodihydrofuran lignin with rhamnose substitution at C-9 position. Based on above analysis and the literature [15], compound 3 was identified as massonianoside A.
Table 1. $^{13}$C NMR data of compounds 1-6 (δ in ppm and J in Hz)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NO.</th>
<th>1$^a$</th>
<th>2$^a$</th>
<th>3$^a$</th>
<th>4$^b$</th>
<th>5$^b$</th>
<th>6$^a$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>134.9</td>
<td>138.4</td>
<td>132.6</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>123.7</td>
<td>128.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>110.5</td>
<td>110.6</td>
<td>110.2</td>
<td>78.6</td>
<td>112.7</td>
<td>111.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>148.5</td>
<td>147.4</td>
<td>146.4</td>
<td>68.9</td>
<td>149.1</td>
<td>146.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>147.2</td>
<td>148.4</td>
<td>147.7</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>151.6</td>
<td>145.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>115.6</td>
<td>115.7</td>
<td>114.8</td>
<td>114.9</td>
<td>116.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>119.6</td>
<td>119.6</td>
<td>118.6</td>
<td>123.4</td>
<td>138.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>87.8</td>
<td>88.3</td>
<td>87.2</td>
<td>167.9</td>
<td>33.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>54.8</td>
<td>55.2</td>
<td>50.9</td>
<td>40.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>64.8</td>
<td>64.8</td>
<td>68.9</td>
<td>65.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1'$^b$</td>
<td>135.4</td>
<td>130.1</td>
<td>128.3</td>
<td>101.3</td>
<td>100.5</td>
<td>133.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2'$^b$</td>
<td>125.8</td>
<td>113.9</td>
<td>115.4</td>
<td>73.4</td>
<td>73.2</td>
<td>113.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3'$^b$</td>
<td>129.1</td>
<td>147.2</td>
<td>140.8</td>
<td>76.6</td>
<td>75.8</td>
<td>148.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4'$^b$</td>
<td>159.1</td>
<td>144.9</td>
<td>144.7</td>
<td>70.5</td>
<td>70.3</td>
<td>145.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5'$^b$</td>
<td>109.5</td>
<td>136.4</td>
<td>135.2</td>
<td>73.8</td>
<td>73.7</td>
<td>115.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6'$^b$</td>
<td>129.3</td>
<td>117.7</td>
<td>116.2</td>
<td>63.8</td>
<td>64.0</td>
<td>122.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7'$^b$</td>
<td>32.4</td>
<td>36.1</td>
<td>34.8</td>
<td>48.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8'$^b$</td>
<td>36.1</td>
<td>32.8</td>
<td>31.5</td>
<td>48.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9'$^b$</td>
<td>61.9</td>
<td>61.8</td>
<td>60.3</td>
<td>62.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1''$^b$</td>
<td>100.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>125.6</td>
<td>125.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2''$^b$</td>
<td>70.9</td>
<td>113.9</td>
<td>115.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3''$^b$</td>
<td>72.0</td>
<td>145.8</td>
<td>145.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4''$^b$</td>
<td>70.6</td>
<td>148.7</td>
<td>147.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5''$^b$</td>
<td>68.6</td>
<td>115.9</td>
<td>116.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6''$^b$</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>121.5</td>
<td>120.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7''$^b$</td>
<td></td>
<td>145.4</td>
<td>144.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8''$^b$</td>
<td></td>
<td>114.9</td>
<td>115.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9''$^b$</td>
<td></td>
<td>166.5</td>
<td>165.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-OCH$_3$</td>
<td>56.4</td>
<td>56.4</td>
<td>55.5</td>
<td>55.6</td>
<td>56.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3'-OCH$_3$</td>
<td></td>
<td>56.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>56.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ 151 MHz for $^{13}$C NMR in Acetone-$d_6$; $^b$ 101 MHz for $^{13}$C NMR in DMSO-$d_6$

Compound 4 was brownish oil and exhibited a blue fluorescence under 365 nm after TLC development. Its molecular formula was determined as C$_{39}$H$_{36}$O$_{10}$ based on its ESI-MS with the ion m/z 437 [M+Na]$^+$, $^1$H and $^{13}$C-NMR.

In the $^1$H-NMR spectrum, signals at δ$_H$ 7.50 (1H, d, J=15.6 Hz, H-7$^*$) and 6.26 (1H, d, J=15.6 Hz, H-8$^*$) were speculated to be the proton signals on the trans carbon-carbon double bond. The aromatic region had three proton signals at δ$_H$ 7.04 (1H, s, H-2 $^*$), 6.99 (1H, d, J=7.8 Hz, H-5 $^*$) and 6.76 (1H, d, J=7.4 Hz, H-6 $^*$). Signal at δ$_H$ 4.26 (1H, d, J=7.7 Hz, H-1$^*$) was the terminal proton signal of sugar. δ$_C$ 4.40–2.98 has 8 proton signals, which were proton signals on oxymethylene or oxymethylene. δ$_C$ 1.07 (3H, d, J=6.0 Hz, H-1) and 0.99 (3H, d, J=6.0 Hz, H-4) were two methyis in the high field.

$^{13}$C-NMR spectrum indicated 19 carbon signals, and δ$_C$ 166.5 was an ester carbonyl in the lower field (Table 1). Signals at δ$_C$ 166.5, 148.7, 145.8, 145.4, 125.6, 121.5, 115.9, 114.9 and 113.9 consisted of a caffeic acyl group. Meanwhile, compound 4 has a glucose unit, which the carbon signals at δ$_C$ 101.3, 73.4, 76.6, 70.5, 73.8 and 63.8. The remaining four carbon signals at δ$_C$ 78.6, 68.9, 19.5 and 15.1 formed a 3-hydroxy-2-butoxy group. Based on above analysis and the literature [16], compound 4 was identified as butane-2, 3-diol 2-O-(6-O-caffeoyl)-β-D-glucopyranoside.

Compound 5 was brownish oil and exhibited a blue fluorescence under 365 nm after TLC development. Its molecular formula was determined as C$_{23}$H$_{26}$O$_{12}$ based on its ESI-MS with the ion m/z 491 [M–H]$^-$, $^1$H and $^{13}$C-NMR.

In the $^1$H-NMR spectrum, signals at δ$_H$ 7.48 (1H, d, J=15.7 Hz, H-7$^*$) and 6.36 (1H, d, J=15.7 Hz, H-8$^*$) were speculated to be the proton signals on the trans carbon-carbon double bond. The aromatic region had six proton signals at δ$_H$ 7.40 (1H, dd, J=8.2, 1.7 Hz, H-6), 7.38 (1H, d, J=1.7 Hz, J=12.8 Hz, H-5$^*$) and 7.34 (1H, d, J=15.1 Hz, H-4$^*$) were two methyis in the high field.

In the $^{13}$C-NMR spectrum, signals at δ$_C$ 166.5, 148.7, 145.8, 145.4, 125.6, 121.5, 115.9, 114.9 and 113.9 constituted of a caffeic acyl group. Meanwhile, compound 4 has a glucose unit, which the carbon signals at δ$_C$ 101.3, 73.4, 76.6, 70.5, 73.8 and 63.8. The remaining four carbon signals at δ$_C$ 78.6, 68.9, 19.5 and 15.1 formed a 3-hydroxy-2-butoxy group. Based on above analysis and the literature [16], compound 4 was identified as butane-2, 3-diol 2-O-(6-O-caffeoyl)-β-D-glucopyranoside.

In the $^1$H-NMR spectrum, signals at δ$_H$ 7.48 (1H, d, J=15.7 Hz, H-7$^*$) and 6.36 (1H, d, J=15.7 Hz, H-8$^*$) were speculated to be the proton signals on the trans carbon-carbon double bond. The aromatic region had six proton signals at δ$_H$ 7.40 (1H, dd, J=8.2, 1.7 Hz, H-6), 7.38 (1H, d, J=1.7 Hz,
The $^{13}$C-NMR spectrum gives 23 carbon signals (Table 1). Compared with the NMR data of compound 4, compound 5 contained a caffeic acyl segment and a glucose unit. The chemical shifts of C-1’ and C-6’ of the glucose shifted to the low field, which speculated that there were substituted on C-1’ and C-6’. Meanwhile, compound 5 had a vanillic acid fragment with substitutions at position 4. Based on above analysis and the literature [17], compound 5 was identified as 4-[(6-O-(E)-caffeoyl)-β-D-glucopyranosyl] vanillic acid.

Compound 6 was brownish oil and its molecular formula was determined as C$_{20}$H$_{26}$O$_{8}$ based on its ESI-MS with the ion m/z 359 [M-H]$^{-}$. $^{1}$H and $^{13}$C-NMR. In the $^{1}$H-NMR spectrum, signals in aromatic region at δ$_{H}$ 6.78 (1H, s, H-5 ’), 6.76 (1H, s, H-2 ’), 6.66 (1H, s, H-2) and 6.22 (1H, s, H-5) were protons on the benzene ring. In the high field, δ$_{H}$ 3.78 (3H, s, 3-OCH$_{3}$) and 3.81 (3H, s, 3'-OCH$_{3}$) were two methoxy signals.

The $^{13}$C-NMR spectrum gave 20 carbon signals (Table 1), and there had 12 carbon signals in the aromatic region, which were two benzene ring units. δ$_{C}$ 56.2 and 56.3 were two methoxy groups, which were consistent with the information given by $^{1}$H-NMR spectrum. The remaining six carbon signals at δ$_{C}$ 65.9, 62.1, 48.4, 48.1, 40.4 and 33.78 were speculated that it was the alkyl carbon signal on the C$_{3}$ skeleton of phenylpropanoid. Based on above analysis and the literature [18], compound 6 was identified as (+)-isolariciresinol.

Compounds 7 to 9 were identified as trans-cinnamic acid (7) [19], ferulic acid (8) [20], and 4-hydroxy cinnamic acid (9) [21], respectively, based on their spectroscopic analysis.

4. CONCLUSIONS

Further chemical investigation of the immature fruits of S. nigrum led to the isolation of nine phenylpropanoids. Their structures were elucidated on the basis of spectroscopic and chemical methods. They were identified as compound was identified as (7S, 8R)-4-[3-hydroxymethyl-5-(3-hydroxypropyl)]-2,3-dihydrobenzofuran-2-yl]-2-methoxyphenol, (7S, 8R)-dihydropseudoconiferyl alcohol, massonianoside A (3), butane-2,3-diol 2-O-(E-caffeoyl)-β-D-glucopyranose, 4-[(E-O-(E)-caffeoyl) -β-D-glucopyranosyl] vanillic acid, (+)-isolariciresinol, trans-cinnamic acid, ferulic acid, and 4-hydroxy cinnamic acid.
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